arXiv:1602.00285v2 [math.CO] 4 Aug 2016

A GRÖBNER BASIS CHARACTERIZATION FOR CHORDAL COMPARABILITY GRAPHS

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI AND TAKAYUKI HIBI

Abstract. In this paper, we study toric ideals associated with multichains of posets. It is shown that the comparability graph of a poset is chordal if and only if there exists a quadratic Gröbner basis of the toric ideal of the poset. Strong perfect elimination orderings of strongly chordal graphs play an important role.

Introduction

An $n \times m$ integer matrix $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_m)$ is called a *configuration* if there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{c} = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ be a polynomial ring in m variables over a field K. Given a configuration A, the binomial ideal

$$I_A = \left\langle \prod_{b_i>0} y_i^{b_i} - \prod_{b_j<0} y_j^{-b_j} \in K[y_1, \ldots, y_m] : \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^m, A\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0} \right\rangle$$

is called the *toric ideal* of A. Any toric ideal is generated by homogeneous binomials, and has a Gröbner basis consisting of homogeneous binomials. See [11, 20] for basics on toric ideals. Each of the following is one of the most important and fundamental problems on toric ideals:

(a) Is the toric ideal I_A generated by quadratic binomials?
(b) Does there exist a monomial order such that a Gröbner basis of I_A consists of quadratic binomials?

Note that any Gröbner basis of I_A is a set of generators of I_A. These problems arise in the study of Koszul algebras. The algebra $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_A$ is said to be *Koszul* if the minimal graded free resolution of K as a $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_A$-module is linear. It is known that

$$\begin{aligned} I_A \text{ has a quadratic Gröbner basis} &\implies K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_A \text{ is Koszul} \\ &\implies I_A \text{ is generated by quadratic binomials} \end{aligned}$$

holds in general. However, all of the converse implications are false. See, e.g., [17]. Problems (a) and (b) are studied for configurations arising from various kinds of combinatorial objects. The following is a partial list of them:

(1) Toric ideals arising from order polytopes of finite posets [10];
(2) Toric ideals arising from cut polytopes of finite graphs [6, 14];
(3) Toric ideals of the vertex-edge incidence matrix of finite graphs [16, 17];

Key words and phrases. partially ordered sets, comparability graphs, strongly chordal graphs, Gröbner bases, toric ideals.

(4) Toric ideals arising from graphical models [5, 8];
(5) Toric ideals arising from matroids [2, 3, 12, 13].

In particular, one of the most famous open problems on toric ideals is White's conjecture [22]: He conjectured that the toric ideal arising from any matroid is generated by some quadratic binomials.

In the present paper, we study toric ideals associated with multichains of posets. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a poset. We associate a multichain $C : x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{i_d}$ of length $d-1$ with a (not necessarily $(0,1)$) vector $\rho(C) = \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i_d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the ith unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We often regards C as a multiset $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_d}\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_d(P) = \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}$ be a set of multichains of P of length $d-1$. Then the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ of $\mathcal{M}_d(P)$ is the toric ideal of the configuration $(\rho(C_1), \ldots, \rho(C_m))$. For example, if $d = 3$ and $P = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a poset whose maximal chains are $x_1 > x_2$ and $x_2 < x_3$, then the corresponding configuration is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $d \geq 2$ and chain $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of length $n-1$, it is known that $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is the toric ideal of the *dth Veronese subring* of a polynomial ring in n variables, and $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis. Thus, in general, $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is a toric ideal of a subconfiguration of the dth Veronese subring. There are several results on toric ideals of subconfigurations of the dth Veronese subring: algebras of Veronese type [20, Theorem 14.2] and algebras of Segre–Veronese type [17, 1]. However, the results of the present paper are different from these results. The toric ideal of algebras of Veronese / Segre–Veronese type has a squarefree initial ideal. On the other hand, $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ has no squarefree initial ideal except for some trivial cases (Proposition 1.3).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, it is shown that the comparability graph of a poset is chordal if and only if there exists a quadratic Gröbner basis of the toric ideal of the poset (Theorem 1.2). In order to construct a quadratic Gröbner basis, the most difficult point is to find a suitable monomial order on a polynomial ring. Strong perfect elimination orderings of strongly chordal graphs play an important role in overcoming this difficulty. In Section 2, we apply the results in Section 1 to a toric ring arising from a graph. Given a graph G, let A_G be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G and let E_n be an identity matrix. It is proved that the toric ideal of the configuration $(2E_n \mid A_G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis if and only if G is strongly chordal (Theorem 2.2).

1. A Gröbner basis characterization

In this section, we give the main theorem of this paper and its proof. First we present a useful lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_m)$ be a configuration. Suppose that $\mathbf{a}_{i_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_{i_r} = \mathbf{a}_{j_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_{j_r}$ $(r \geq 3)$, where $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\} = \emptyset$. If*

$$\mathbf{a}_{i_k} + \mathbf{a}_{i_\ell} = \mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{a}_q \Longleftrightarrow \{i_k, i_\ell\} = \{p, q\}$$

holds for any $1 \leq k < \ell \leq r$ *and* $1 \leq p, q \leq m$*, then* I_A *is not generated by quadratic binomials.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{a}_{i_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_{i_r} = \mathbf{a}_{j_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_{j_r}$ $(r \geq 3)$, where $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\} = \emptyset$. Then, $A(\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i_r} - \mathbf{e}_{j_1} - \cdots - \mathbf{e}_{j_r}) = \mathbf{0}$, and hence, $y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_r} - y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_r}$ belongs to I_A. Let $f = y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_r} - y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_r}$. Since $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\} = \emptyset$, f is a nonzero binomial (of degree $r \geq 3$). If f is generated by quadratic binomials in I_A, then there exists a quadratic binomial $g = y_{i_k} y_{i_\ell} - y_p y_q$ $(\neq 0)$ belonging to I_A such that $1 \leq k < \ell \leq r$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq m$. Since g belongs to I_A, we have $\mathbf{a}_{i_k} + \mathbf{a}_{i_\ell} = \mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{a}_q$. By assumption, $\{i_k, i_\ell\} = \{p, q\}$, and hence $g = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, f is not generated by quadratic binomials in I_A. $\square$

Let G be a finite simple graph on the vertex set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ whose edge set is $E(G)$. Given a vertex v of G, let $N(v)$ denote the induced subgraph of G consisting of all vertices adjacent to v. A vertex v of G is called *simplicial* in G if $N(v)$ is a clique in G. The ordering $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of the vertices of G is called a *perfect elimination ordering* of G if, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, the vertex v_i is simplicial in the induced subgraph of G on vertices $v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_n$. A graph G is called *chordal* if the length of any induced cycle of G is three. It is known that a graph G is chordal if and only if G has a perfect elimination ordering. Several interesting results on commutative algebra related with chordal graphs are known (e.g., [5, 7, 9]). A perfect elimination ordering $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of a graph G is called a *strong perfect elimination ordering* if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(i) If $i < j < k < \ell$ and $\{v_i, v_k\}, \{v_i, v_\ell\}, \{v_j, v_k\} \in E(G)$, then $\{v_j, v_\ell\} \in E(G)$;
(ii) If $i < j$ and $k < \ell$ with $\{v_i, v_k\}, \{v_i, v_\ell\}, \{v_j, v_k\} \in E(G)$, then $\{v_j, v_\ell\} \in E(G)$.

A graph G is called *strongly chordal* if G has a strong perfect elimination ordering.

Let $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a poset. Then, the *comparability graph* G_P of P is a graph on the vertex set P such that $\{x_i, x_j\}$ is an edge of G_P if and only if $x_i < x_j$ or $x_j < x_i$. It is known that if G_P is chordal, then G_P is strongly chordal. See, e.g., [4] for details. Suppose that the comparability graph G_P of a poset P is chordal. Assume that $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is a strong perfect elimination ordering of G_P. Let $\mathcal{M}_d(P) = \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}$, where $\rho(C_i) - \rho(C_j) = (0, \ldots, 0, \alpha^{(i,j)}, \ldots)$ with $\alpha^{(i,j)} > 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Recall that $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)} \subset K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ is the toric ideal of a configuration $(\rho(C_1), \ldots, \rho(C_m))$, where each y_i corresponds to C_i. Let $<_{\mathrm{rev}}$ denote the reverse lexicographic order induced by the ordering $y_1 < \cdots < y_m$.

Now we are in the position to state the main theorem of the present paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* P *be a poset. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *The comparability graph* G_P *of* P *is chordal.*
(ii) *The toric ideal* $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ *is generated by quadratic binomials for some* d*;*
(iii) *The toric ideal* $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ *has a quadratic Gröbner basis for some* d*;*
(iv) *The toric ideal* $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ *is generated by quadratic binomials for all* $d \geq 2$*;*
(v) *The toric ideal* $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ *has a quadratic Gröbner basis for all* $d \geq 2$*.*

Proof. The implications (v) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) and (v) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) are trivial. We will show (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) and (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (v).

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Suppose that G_P has an induced cycle C of length ≥ 4. Since G_P is a comparability graph, C is an even cycle. Let $C = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2\ell})$ with $\ell \geq 2$ and let $C_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_1\}$, $C_2 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_1, x_2\}$, $C_3 = \{x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_3\}$, $C_4 = \{x_3, \ldots, x_3\}$, $C_{2i+1} = \{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}, \ldots, x_{2i}\}$ $(2 \leq i \leq \ell)$, $C_{2i+2} = \{x_{2i}, \ldots, x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}\}$ $(2 \leq i \leq \ell-1)$, and $C_{2\ell+2} = \{x_{2\ell}, \ldots, x_{2\ell}, x_1\}$ be multichains in $\mathcal{M}_d(P)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} \rho(C_{2k-1}) &= d\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + (d-1)\mathbf{e}_3 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (\mathbf{e}_{2i-1} + (d-1)\mathbf{e}_{2i}) \\
&= (d-1)\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + d\mathbf{e}_3 + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} ((d-1)\mathbf{e}_{2i} + \mathbf{e}_{2i+1}) \right) + (d-1)\mathbf{e}_{2\ell} + \mathbf{e}_1 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} \rho(C_{2k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since C is an induced cycle of G_P, it follows that, for $C', C'' \in \mathcal{M}_d(P)$, $\rho(C_i) + \rho(C_j) = \rho(C') + \rho(C'')$ if and only if $\{C_i, C_j\} = \{C', C''\}$. By Lemma 1.1, $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is not generated by quadratic binomials.

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (v) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ with respect to the reverse lexicographic order $<_{\rm rev}$ defined as above. Suppose that there exists a binomial $g = y_{u_1} \cdots y_{u_\beta} - y_{v_1} \cdots y_{v_\beta} \in \mathcal{G}$ of degree $\beta \geq 3$ whose initial monomial is $y_{u_1} \cdots y_{u_\beta}$. By [20, Lemma 4.6], it follows that $\{u_1, \ldots, u_\beta\} \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_\beta\} = \emptyset$. Since g belongs to $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \rho(C_{u_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \rho(C_{v_i})$. Let y_k be the smallest variable in g. Then, $k \in \{v_1, \ldots, v_\beta\}$. Let $C_k = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_d}\}$, where $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_d$.

Since $-\rho(C_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \rho(C_{u_i})$ is nonnegative and since y_k is the smallest variable in g, there exists a variable y_{k_1} such that $C_{k_1} = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots x_{i_p}, x_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_{\ell_q}\}$ $(i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_p \leq \ell_1 \leq \cdots \leq \ell_q,\ \ 1 \leq p < d,$ and $i_{p+1} < \ell_1)$ and that $k_1 \in \{u_1, \ldots, u_\beta\}$. Since x_{i_1} is simplicial, it follows that $P' = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_d}, x_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_{\ell_q}\}$ is a multichain of P. On the other hand, since $-\rho(C_{k_1}) - \mathbf{e}_{i_{p+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \rho(C_{u_i})$ is nonnegative, there exists a variable y_{k_2} such that $C_{k_2} = \{x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_d}\}$ $(j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_d)$ and that $y_{k_1} y_{k_2}$ divides $y_{u_1} \cdots y_{u_\beta}$. Since $y_k < y_{k_2}$, we have $i_1 \leq i_{p+1}, j_2$, and in addition, at least one of i_{p+1} and j_d is greater than i_1. It is enough to show that there exists a quadratic binomial f $(\neq 0)$ in $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ whose initial monomial is $y_{k_1} y_{k_2}$, which yields a contradiction.

Case 1. $(i_1 \in \{i_{p+1}, j_2\}.)$ Since x_{i_1} is simplicial and since

$$i_1 = \min\{i_1, \ldots, i_p, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_q\} = \min\{i_{p+1}, j_2, \ldots, j_d\},$$

$\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_p}, x_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_{\ell_q}\} \cup \{x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_d}\}$ is a multichain of P. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2d}$ be integers such that $\alpha_1 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{2d}$ and

$$\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_p}, x_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_{\ell_q}, x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_d}\} = \{x_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_{\alpha_{2d}}\}$$

as multisets. Then, $f = y_{k_1} y_{k_2} - y_{k_3} y_{k_4}$, where $C_{k_3} = \{x_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_{\alpha_d}\}$ and $C_{k_4} = \{x_{\alpha_{d+1}}, \ldots, x_{\alpha_{2d}}\}$, belongs to $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$. Suppose that $f = 0$. Then, either $i_1 = \cdots = i_p = \ell_1 = \cdots = \ell_q$ or $i_1 = i_{p+1} = j_2 = \cdots = j_d$. Since $i_p \leq i_{p+1} < \ell_1$, we have $i_1 = i_{p+1} = j_2 = \cdots = j_d$. This contradicts the fact that at least one of i_{p+1} and j_d

is greater than i_1. Thus, f is nonzero. Since the smallest variable appearing in f is y_{k_3}, the initial monomial of f is $y_{k_1}y_{k_2}$.

Case 2. ($i_1 \notin \{i_{p+1}, j_2\}$.) Suppose that $i_{p+1} = j_2 = \cdots = j_d$. Since $i_1 < i_{p+1} = j_2 = \cdots = j_d < \ell_1$, it follows that $f = y_{k_1}y_{k_2} - y_{k_3}y_{k_4} \in I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is nonzero, where $C_{k_3} = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_p}, x_{i_{p+1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{p+1}}\}$ and $C_{k_4} = \{x_{i_{p+1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_{\ell_q}\}$. Since the smallest variable appearing in f is y_{k_3}, the initial monomial of f is $y_{k_1}y_{k_2}$. Therefore, there exists j_s such that $i_{p+1} \neq j_s$.

Suppose that $j_s = \ell_1 = \cdots = \ell_q$. Since $i_{p+1} < \ell_1$ and $i_1 < j_2$, it follows that $f = y_{k_1}y_{k_2} - y_{k_3}y_{k_4} \in I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is nonzero, where $C_{k_3} = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_p}, x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_{\ell_1}\}$ and $C_{k_4} = \{x_{\ell_1}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_d}\}$. Since the smallest variable appearing in f is y_{k_3}, the initial monomial of f is $y_{k_1}y_{k_2}$. Therefore, there exists ℓ_t such that $\ell_t \neq j_s$.

Thus, i_1, i_{p+1}, j_s, and ℓ_t are distinct integers such that $i_1 < j_s$, $i_{p+1} < \ell_t$, and that $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_{p+1}}\}$, $\{x_{i_1}, x_{\ell_t}\}$, and $\{x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{j_s}\}$ are edges of G_P. Since $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is a strong perfect elimination ordering, $\{x_{j_s}, x_{\ell_t}\}$ is an edge of G_P. We now show that, for any $2 \leq s' \leq d$, $x_{j_{s'}}$ and x_{ℓ_t} are comparable. We may assume that $\ell_t \neq j_{s'}$. If $j_{s'} = i_{p+1}$, then $x_{j_{s'}}$ and x_{ℓ_t} are comparable since P' is a multichain. If $j_{s'} \neq i_{p+1}$, then $x_{j_{s'}}$ and x_{ℓ_t} are comparable by the same argument of j_s. Thus, $x_{j_{s'}}$ and x_{ℓ_t} are comparable, and hence $\{x_{\ell_t}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_d}\}$ is a multichain of P. Since $i_{p+1} \neq \ell_t$ and $i_1 < j_2$, it follows that $f = y_{k_1}y_{k_2} - y_{k_3}y_{k_4} \in I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is nonzero, where $C_{k_3} = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_p}, x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_{\ell_{t-1}}, x_{\ell_{t+1}}, \ldots, x_{\ell_q}\}$ and $C_{k_4} = \{x_{\ell_t}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_d}\}$. Since the smallest variable appearing in f is y_{k_3}, the initial monomial of f is $y_{k_1}y_{k_2}$. □

Let A be a configuration. The *initial ideal* of I_A is an ideal generated by the initial monomial of the nonzero polynomials in I_A. It is known that, if I_A has a squarefree initial ideal, then $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_A$ is normal. See [11, 20]. The toric ideals of algebras of Veronese type [20, Theorem 14.2] and algebras of Segre–Veronese type [17, 1] have a squarefree initial ideal. On the other hand, $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ has no squarefree initial ideal except for some trivial cases. Let P be a poset with $\mathcal{M}_d(P) = \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}$, and let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}A_P &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i \rho(C_i) : z_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \\
\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A_P &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i \rho(C_i) : 0 \leq z_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \\
\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A_P &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i \rho(C_i) : 0 \leq q_i \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is known [20, Theorem 13.5] that $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is normal if and only if $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A_P = \mathbb{Z}A_P \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A_P$. Proposition 1.3 shows that $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is different from known classes of toric ideals associated with subconfigurations of Veronese subrings.

Proposition 1.3. *Let P be a poset. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *P is a disjoint union of chains;*
(ii) *$I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is the toric ideal of the tensor product of the Veronese subrings;*
(iii) *$I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ has a squarefree initial ideal;*

(iv) $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ *is normal.*

Moreover, the normalization of $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ *is the tensor product of the Veronese subrings.*

Proof. The implication (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv) is known. We will show (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) and (iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). If P is a disjoint union of posets P_1 and P_2, then $\mathcal{M}_d(P)$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{M}_d(P_1) = \{C_1, \ldots, C_\ell\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_d(P_2) = \{C_{\ell+1}, \ldots, C_m\}$. It then follows that $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is the tensor product of $K[y_1, \ldots, y_\ell]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P_1)}$ and $K[y_{\ell+1}, \ldots, y_m]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P_2)}$. Thus, we may assume that P is connected, i.e., the comparability graph G of P is connected.

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that P is a chain. Then, $I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is the toric ideal of the d-th Veronese subrings.

(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Since G is connected, for each $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, there exists a walk $x_j = x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_r} = x_k$ in G. Then, $-\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_k = d\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \cdots + d\mathbf{e}_{i_r} - \sum_{s=1}^{r}(\mathbf{e}_{i_{s-1}} + (d-1)\mathbf{e}_{i_s})$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}A_P$. Hence, it follows that

$$\mathbb{Z}A_P = \left\{ (z_1, \ldots, z_n)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i = d \right\}.$$

Moreover, since $d\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, d\mathbf{e}_n$ belong to $\{\rho(C_1), \ldots, \rho(C_m)\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A_P = \left\{ (q_1, \ldots, q_n)^T \in \mathbb{Q}^n : q_1, \ldots, q_n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{Z}A_P \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A_P = \left\{ (z_1, \ldots, z_n)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n : z_1, \ldots, z_n \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i = d \right\}.$$

If x_j and x_k is not comparable, then $(d-1)\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_k$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}A_P \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A_P$, and does not belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A_P$. Hence $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is not normal.

On the other hand, $\mathbb{Z}A_P \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A_P = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A_{P'}$, where P' is a chain of length $n-1$. Hence, the normalization of $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_{\mathcal{M}_d(P)}$ is the d-th Veronese subring. □

2. The toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{M}_2(P)}$ and edge rings

In this section, we give some examples and remarks. In particular, we apply the results in Section 1 to a toric ring arising from a graph.

Example 2.1. The following binomials form a Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{M}_2(P)}$ with respect to $<_{\mathrm{rev}}$ appearing in the proof of Theorem 1.2:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{i\ell}y_{jk} - y_{ik}y_{j\ell} \quad & (i < j < k < \ell \text{ and } \{x_i, x_\ell\}, \{x_j, x_k\}, \{x_i, x_k\}, \{x_j, x_\ell\} \in \mathcal{M}_2(P)) \\
y_{i\ell}y_{jk} - y_{ij}y_{k\ell} \quad & (i < j < k < \ell \text{ and } \{x_i, x_\ell\}, \{x_j, x_k\}, \{x_i, x_j\}, \{x_k, x_\ell\} \in \mathcal{M}_2(P)) \\
y_{ik}y_{j\ell} - y_{ij}y_{k\ell} \quad & (i < j < k < \ell \text{ and } \{x_i, x_k\}, \{x_j, x_\ell\}, \{x_i, x_j\}, \{x_k, x_\ell\} \in \mathcal{M}_2(P)) \\
y_{ij}y_{ik} - y_{ii}y_{jk} \quad & (i < j < k \text{ and } \{x_i, x_j, x_k\} \in \mathcal{M}_3(P)) \\
y_{jj}y_{ik} - y_{ij}y_{jk} \quad & (i < j < k \text{ and } \{x_i, x_j, x_k\} \in \mathcal{M}_3(P)) \\
y_{ik}y_{jk} - y_{kk}y_{ij} \quad & (i < j < k \text{ and } \{x_i, x_j, x_k\} \in \mathcal{M}_3(P)) \\
y_{ij}^2 - y_{ii}y_{jj} \quad & (i < j \text{ and } \{x_i, x_j\} \in \mathcal{M}_2(P)),
\end{aligned}$$

where each variable y_{ij} corresponds to $\{x_i, x_j\} \in \mathcal{M}_2(P)$. The initial monomial of each binomial is the first monomial.

Let G be a simple graph and let A_G be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G. The toric ideal I_{A_G} of A_G is referred to as the toric ideal of the *edge ring* of the simple graph G and has been well studied (e.g., [16, 17, 21]). In particular, a graph theoretical characterization for I_{A_G} generated by quadratic binomials is given in [17, Theorem 1.2]. Let $\overline{A_G} = (\ 2E_n \mid A_G\ )$, where E_n is an identity matrix. Then, we can regard $\overline{A_G}$ as a configuration arising from a non-simple graph whose edge set is $E(G) \cup L$, where L is the set of loops at vertices of G. The edge rings of non-simple graphs are studied in, e.g., [15]. See also [19, Remark 4.18]. If G is the comparability graph of a poset P, then $I_{\mathcal{M}_2(P)} = I_{\overline{A_G}}$ holds. The discussion in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows the following:

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *G is strongly chordal;*
(ii) *$I_{\overline{A_G}}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis;*
(iii) *$I_{\overline{A_G}}$ is generated by quadratic binomials.*

Proof. The discussion in proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). In addition, (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) holds in general. Hence, it is enough to show (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Suppose that $I_{\overline{A_G}}$ is generated by quadratic binomials. From the proof of Theorem 1.2, it follows that G has no induced even cycles. Suppose that G has an induced odd cycle $C = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2\ell+1})$ of length $2\ell + 1 \geq 5$. Since C has no chords, $(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_{2\ell+1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell}(\mathbf{e}_{2k-1} + \mathbf{e}_{2k}) = 2\mathbf{e}_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell}(\mathbf{e}_{2k} + \mathbf{e}_{2k+1})$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 1.1, which is a contradiction. Thus, G is chordal. Suppose that G is not strongly chordal. By [4, Theorem 7.2.1], a sun graph S_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$) is an induced subgraph of G. Here S_ℓ is a graph whose edge set is $E(S_\ell) = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \ldots, \{v_{2\ell-1}, v_{2\ell}\}, \{v_1, v_{2\ell}\}\} \cup E(G')$, where G' is a graph on the vertex set $\{v_2, v_4, \ldots, v_{2\ell}\}$. Since $\{v_1, v_3, \ldots, v_{2\ell-1}\}$ is independent in G, $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}(\mathbf{e}_{2k-1} + \mathbf{e}_{2k}) = (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_{2\ell}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1}(\mathbf{e}_{2k} + \mathbf{e}_{2k+1})$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 1.1, which is a contradiction. Therefore, G is strongly chordal, as desired. □

Example 2.3. Let G be the comparability graph of a poset $P = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ whose maximal chains are $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ and $x_1 < x_4 < x_5$. Then G is strongly chordal. However, the toric ideal I_{A_G} is a principal ideal generated by a binomial of degree 3. This example shows that, if we define $\mathcal{M}_d(P)$ as the set of all *chains* $x_{i_1} < \cdots < x_{i_d}$ of P, then the statement of Theorem 1.2 does not hold.

References

[1] S. Aoki, T. Hibi, H. Ohsugi and A. Takemura, Markov basis and Gröbner basis of Segre–Veronese configuration for testing independence in group-wise selections, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **62** (2010), 299–321.

[2] J. Blasiak, The toric ideal of a graphic matroid is generated by quadrics, *Combinatorica*, **28** (3), (2008), 283–297.

[3] S. Blum, Base-sortable matroids and Koszulness of semigroup rings, *Europ. J. Combin.* **22** (2001), 937–951.

[4] A. Brandstädt, V.B. Le, and J.P. Spinrad, "Graph Classes: A Survey," SIAM Monographs on Discrete Math. Appl., Vol. 3, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1999.
[5] A. Dobra, Markov bases for decomposable graphical models, *Bernoulli* **9** (2003), 1093–1108.
[6] A. Engström, Cut ideals of K_4-minor free graphs are generated by quadrics, *Michigan Math. J.* **60** (2011), 705–714.
[7] R. Fröberg, On Stanley–Reisner rings, *in* "Topics in algebra, Banach Center Publications," **26** Part 2, (1990), 57–70.
[8] D. Geiger, C. Meek, and B. Sturmfels, On the toric algebra of graphical models, *Ann. Statist.* **34** (2006), no. 3, 1463–1492.
[9] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and X. Zheng, Monomial ideals whose powers have a linear resolution, *Math. Scand.* **95** (2004), 23–32.
[10] T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws, *in* "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics" (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, Eds.), Advanced Studies in Pure Math., Volume 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 93–109.
[11] T. Hibi Ed., "Gröbner Bases: Statistics and Software Systems," Springer, 2013.
[12] K. Kashiwabara, The toric ideal of a matroid of rank 3 is generated by quadrics, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**, (2010), #R28.
[13] M. Lasoń and M. Michałek, On the toric ideal of a matroid, *Adv. Math.* **259** (2014), 1–12.
[14] U. Nagel and S. Petrović, Properties of cut ideals associated to ring graphs, *J. Commut. Algebra* **1** (2009), 547–565.
[15] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Normal polytopes arising from finite graphs, *J. Algebra* **207** (1998), 409–426.
[16] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Koszul bipartite graphs, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **22** (1999), 25–28.
[17] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Toric ideals generated by quadratic binomials, *J. Algebra* **218** (1999), 509–527.
[18] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Compressed polytopes, initial ideals and complete multipartite graphs, *Illinois J. Math.* **44** (2000), 391–406.
[19] E. Reyes, C. Tatakis and A. Thoma, Minimal generators of toric ideals of graphs, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **48** (2012), 64–78.
[20] B. Sturmfels, "Gröbner bases and convex polytopes," Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
[21] R. Villarreal, Rees algebras of edge ideals, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), 3513–3524.
[22] N. White, A unique exchange property for bases, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **31** (1980), 81–91.

Hidefumi Ohsugi, Department of Mathematical Sciences, School of Science and Technology, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda, Hyogo 669-1337, Japan
E-mail address: ohsugi@kwansei.ac.jp

Takayuki Hibi, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
E-mail address: hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp